

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Edward Lashawn Fields,	)	Civil Action No.: 0:15-624-MGL
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	<b>ORDER</b>
	)	
	)	
Southern Health Partners, <i>c/o Susan</i>	)	
<i>Lopez, LPN,</i>	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

---

On February 11, 2015, Plaintiff Edward Lashawn Fields, (“Plaintiff”), proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*, filed this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1). In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2) D.S.C., this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Paige J. Gossett for review pursuant to the procedural provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and § 1915A . On April 6, 2015, the Magistrate Judge prepared a thorough Report and Recommendation, (“the Report”), recommending that the Complaint be summarily dismissed *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process. (ECF No. 11). Objections to the Report were due by April 23, 2015. Plaintiff did not file an Objection to the Report. The matter is now ripe for review by this Court.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to the Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of any portion of the Report of the Magistrate Judge to which a specific objection is made. The Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28

U.S.C. § 636(b). In the absence of a timely filed Objection, a district court need not conduct a *de novo* review, but instead must “only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

Applying the above standards to the instant matter, the Court has carefully reviewed the record, applicable law, and the Magistrate Judge’s Report, (ECF No. 11), and finding no clear error in the Report, the Court adopts and incorporates it by reference. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s Complaint is hereby **DISMISSED** *without prejudice* and without issuance and service of process.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Mary G. Lewis  
United States District Judge

Columbia, South Carolina  
May 5, 2015